

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/855,836	09/855,836 05/16/2001		Han Oh Park	024018/0105	9171		
22428	7590	10/21/2003		EXAMINER			
FOLEY A	ND LAF	RDNER	WARE, DE	WARE, DEBORAH K			
SUITE 500 3000 K STR	EET NV	v	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
WASHING	TON, D	C 20007	1651	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
				DATE MAILED: 10/21/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	<u> </u>	Appliantés	<del></del>					
		Application	N.	Applicant(s)						
Off: - A	-4: O	09/855,836		PARK ET AL.						
Οπις Α	ction Summary	Examin r		Art Unit						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Deborah K.		1651						
The MAILING DATE f this c mmunication appears on the cover sheet with the c rresp ndence address Period f r Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status										
1) Responsive	to communication(s) filed on	03 July 2003 .								
2a)⊠ This action is	s FINAL. 2b)□	This action is n	on-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
Disposition of Claims										
	Claim(s) 1-45/s/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-45 s/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>/-∂</u> 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>₹5/⁄/</u>	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>/</u> 2_ is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>₹57-7</u> is/are rejected.									
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6</u>	Claim(s) 64/3 is/are objected to.									
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.										
Application Papers	Application Papers									
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.										
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.										
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.										
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.										
Pri rity under 35 U.S.			05 II O O C 440/-	) (a) (6)						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).										
	ome * c) None of:									
<u></u>	d copies of the priority docum			NI-						
	d copies of the priority docum				04					
арр	<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).										
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.										
Attachment(s)										
	Cited (PTO-892) s Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	8) 5		(PTO-413) Paper Nor Patent Application (PT						

Application/Control Number: 09/855,836

Art Unit: 1651

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-4 are pending.

Claims 16-45 remain withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to nonelected invention(s), there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 11.

This application contains claims 16-45 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 11. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancelation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i). Further, it should be noted that only claims limited to the same scope of any indicated allowable subject matter can be rejoined.

Claims 1-15 are reconsidered on the merits.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/855,836

Art Unit: 1651

Claims 3-5, 7, 10-12 and 14 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Sawada et al., cited of record as (A) on a previously submitted PTO-892 Form, for reasons of record.

Applicants' arguments filed March 19, 2003, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants' argument that Sawada comprises no bacteria of any sort and thus, Sawada is limited to a pharmaceutical comprising the polysaccharide complexes isolated from the bacteria, is noted. However, Sawada does clearly teach similar bacteria as claimed as being capable of producing the desired active ingredient: the extracellular polysaccharide as claimed herein. Therefore, it would have been an obvious modification of the cited prior art to use the whole bacteria capable of producing the extracellular polysaccharide as disclosed by Sawada. One of skill would have known at the time the claimed invention was filed and would have been motivated to select whole bacteria capable of producing the polysaccharide for pharmaceutical use. Thus, these claims remain obvious over Sawada.

Claims 3-5, 7-12 and 14-15/remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sawada et al in view of Becker et al and if necessary in further view of Toyosaki et al, (all cited of record) for reasons of record.

Applicant's arguments filed March 19, 2003, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that none of the references teach using the whole bacteria in the pharmaceuticals, the fact that applicant has recognized another advantage which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would

Application/Control Number: 09/855,836

Solition Mulliber. 03/000,00

Art Unit: 1651

otherwise be obvious. See *Ex parte Obiaya*, 227 USPQ 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1985). It should be noted that polysaccharides of cell walls is an extraceullular polysaccharide. Sawada teaches such extracellular polysaccharides at col. 2, lines 25-35. Further, the argument that Acetobacter strains of Toyosaki are different than the strain of Acetobacter BC-Y058 is noted, however, the claims under rejection herein are not so limited to the specific strain. One of skill would have been motivated to select from any whole microorganism whether those of Sawada or Toyosaki to provide for the desired active ingredient of which is an extracellular polysaccharide. Cellulose is an extracellular polysaccharide whether it is produced in a culture or in a jar. Sawada clearly teaches the desire to use these in a pharmaceutical as does Becker. The claims remain prima facie obvious over the cited prior art because they are taught, or at least suggested, by the combination of prior art references noted above and cited of record.

Claims 1-2 are allowable.

Claims 6 and 13 are free of the prior art but are objected to for being dependent upon rejected base claims.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 1651

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah K. Ware whose telephone number is 308-4245. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn can be reached on 308-4743. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-0196.

Deborah K. Ware October 18, 2003

PRIMARY EXAMINER